

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/608,159	WILCOX ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Chun Cao	2115

**All Participants:**

(1) Chun Cao. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Michael J. Mallie (Req. No. 36,591). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 8 September 2005

**Time:** 4:30 pm

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*112 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph rejection*

**Claims discussed:**

1

**Prior art documents discussed:**

None

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*35 USC 112 2<sup>nd</sup> problem of claim 1 was discussed. Applicant agreed to amend the claim to overcome the discussed 112 2<sup>nd</sup> problem as set forth in examiner amendment in order to place the application in condition for allowance.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)